UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,745	04/16/2004	G. Glenn Henry	CNTR.2228	5652
	7590 09/24/200 AW GROUP, P.C.	8	EXAMINER	
1900 MESA A	VE.		HOANG, DANIEL L	
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80906			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO@HUFFMANLAW.NET

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/826,745	HENRY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DANIEL L. HOANG	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ju</u>	ulv 2008						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	2x parto quayro, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	0.0.210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application	— · · · — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	') Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	te					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/19/08, 9/04/08</u> . 6)							

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-38 are presented.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 22, and 28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 10-12, 14-23, 26-30, 33-38, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yup et al., US PGP No. 20020191784, and further in view of Laurenti, US Patent No. 6795930.

As per claim 1, 23, 30, Yup teaches:

An apparatus for performing cryptographic operations, comprising:

a cryptographic instruction, received by a computing device <u>microprocessor</u> as part of an instruction flow executing on said computing device <u>microprocessor</u>, wherein said cryptographic instruction prescribes one of the cryptographic operations, and wherein said one of the cryptographic operations comprises:

Art Unit: 2136

[see paragraphs 0038-0039]

a plurality of CBC block cryptographic operations performed on a corresponding plurality of input text blocks;

[see paragraph 0040]

[CBC] mode logic, operatively coupled to said cryptographic instruction, configured to direct said computing device <u>microprocessor</u> to update pointer registers and intermediate results for each of said plurality of [CBC] block cryptographic operations; and

[see paragraph 0025]

execution logic, operatively coupled to said [CBC] block pointer logic, configured to execute said one of the cryptographic operations.

[see paragraph 0041]

Yup is not explicit in teaching CBC block cryptographic operations. More specifically, although Yup teaches cryptographic operations on multiple successive blocks of text, Yup does not expressly state that these cryptographic operations are of cipher block chaining mode. As evident in applicant's disclosure on paragraph 0012 of the specification, it is well known that all symmetric key algorithms employ the same types of modes. ECB, CBC, CFB, and OFB are examples that applicant discloses. Based on this, examiner deems it obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to implement CBC or any other block cipher mode in conjunction with the system/apparatus taught by Yup.

Yup is also not explicit in teaching that the computing device is a pipeline microprocessor. For this limitation, examiner relies on the Laurenti reference. Please see fig. 6 in which Laurenti teaches a pipeline processor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of Yup above to include the pipeline processor taught by Laurenti in order to reduce power consumption of the computing device by allowing it to operate in a lower power mode during times of inactivity (see Laurenti, col. 1, lines 34-39).

Application/Control Number: 10/826,745

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 2, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said one of the cryptographic operations further

comprises: a CBC mode encryption operation, said CBC mode encryption operation comprising

Page 4

encryption of a plurality of plaintext blocks to generate a corresponding plurality of ciphertext

blocks.

[see paragraph 0040]

As per claim 3, 35, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said one of the cryptographic operations further

comprises: a CBC mode decryption operation, said CBC mode decryption operation comprising

decryption of a plurality of ciphertext blocks to generate a corresponding plurality of plaintext

blocks.

[see paragraph 0040]

As per claim 4, 36, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said one of the cryptographic operations is

accomplished according to the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) algorithm.

[see paragraph 0024]

As per claim 5, 34, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said cryptographic instruction prescribes that output

feedback mode to be employed in accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations.

[see rejection of claim 1]

As per claim 10, 26, Yup teaches:

Application/Control Number: 10/826,745

Art Unit: 2136

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said CBC mode logic directs said computing device

to modify said pointer registers to point to next input and output text blocks at the completion of

each of said plurality of CBC block cryptographic operations on each of said corresponding

plurality of input text blocks.

[see paragraphs 0025-0027]

As per claim 11, 27, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said CBC mode logic directs said computing device

to store a current output text block to a memory location pointed to by an initialization vector

register.

[see paragraphs 0043-0044]

As per claim 12, 29, 37, 38, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 11, wherein said OFB mode logic directs said computing device

to generate said current initialization vector equivalent by exclusive-ORing a current input text

block with a current output text block.

[see paragraph 0027]

As per claim 14, 28, 33:

Yup does not explicitly disclose:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said cryptographic instruction is prescribed

according to the x86 instruction format.

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to create the instructions in x86

format or any other format. One would have been motivated to do so in order to conform to the

type of platform selected for implementation of the encryption/decryption device.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 15, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, wherein said cryptographic instruction implicitly references a

plurality of registers within said computing device.

[see paragraph 0024]

As per claim 16, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 15, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a first register,

wherein contents of said first register comprise a first pointer to a first memory address, said first

memory address specifying a first location in memory for access of said plurality of input text

blocks upon which said one of the cryptographic operations is to be accomplished.

[see paragraphs 0024-0027]

As per claim 17, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 15, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a second

register, wherein contents of said second register comprise a second pointer to a second memory

address, said second memory address specifying a second location in said memory for storage of

a corresponding plurality of output text blocks, said corresponding plurality of output text blocks

being generated as a result of accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations upon a

plurality of input text blocks.

[see paragraphs 0024-0027]

As per claim 18, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 15, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a third

register, wherein contents of said third register indicate a number of text blocks within a plurality

of input text blocks.

[see paragraphs 0024-0027]

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 19, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 15, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a fourth

register, wherein contents of said fourth register comprise a third pointer to a third memory

address, said third memory address specifying a third location in memory for access of

cryptographic key data for use in accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations.

[see paragraphs 0024-0027]

As per claim 20, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 15, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a fifth register,

wherein contents of said fifth register comprise a fourth pointer to a fourth memory address, said

fourth memory address specifying a fourth location in memory for access of an initialization vector

for use in accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations.

[see paragraphs 0024-0027]

As per claim 21, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 15, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a sixth

register, wherein contents of said sixth register comprise a fifth pointer to a fifth memory address,

said fifth memory address specifying a fifth location in memory for access of a control word for

use in accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations, wherein said control word

prescribes cryptographic parameters for said one of the cryptographic operations.

[see paragraphs 0024-0027]

As per claim 22, Yup teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 15, wherein said plurality of registers comprises: a sixth

register, wherein contents of said sixth register comprise a fifth pointer to a fifth memory

address, said fifth memory address specifying a fifth location in memory for access of a control

Application/Control Number: 10/826,745

Art Unit: 2136

word for use in accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations, wherein said control

Page 8

word prescribes cryptographic parameters for said one of the cryptographic operations.

[see paragraph 0040]

Claims 6-9, 13, 24-25, 31-32, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Yup and Laurenti as applied to claim 1 above, and

further in view of Sorimachi et al., US Patent No. 7184549.

As per claim 6, 24, 31:

The Yup reference has been discussed above. Yup is not explicit in teaching:

The apparatus as recited in claim 1, further comprising: a bit, coupled to said execution

logic, configured to indicate whether said one of the cryptographic operations has been

interrupted by an interrupting event.

Sorimachi teaches the deficiencies of Yup. [see col. 13, lines 29-55]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine what is taught above by

Sorimachi with the teachings of Yup in order to handle the exceptions when they occur. It would

be beneficial to incorporate the use of exception handling so that the system can deal with

exceptions in the event that a change in the normal flow of execution of the system arises.

As per claim 7, Sorimachi teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 6, wherein said bit is contained within a flags register.

[see col. 14, lines 28-45]

As per claim 8, Sorimachi teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 6, wherein said interrupting event comprises a transfer of

program control to a program flow configured to process said interrupting event, and wherein

execution of said one of the cryptographic operations on a current input text block is interrupted.

Art Unit: 2136

[see col. 14, lines 28-45]

As per claim 9, 25, 32, Sorimachi teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 8, wherein, upon return of program control to said cryptographic

instruction, said one of the cryptographic operations is performed on said current input text block.

[see col. 14, lines 28-45]

As per claim 13, Sorimachi teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 6, wherein said interrupting event comprises an interrupt, an

exception, a page fault, or a task switch.

[see col. 13, lines 29-55]

POINTS OF CONTACT

*. Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to** (571) 273-8300 **or mailed to**:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulaney Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Daniel L. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1019. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2136

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Daniel L. Hoang/

Examiner, Art Unit 2136

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136